

ECE 717  
Exam 2 – Fall 2019

SOLUTIONS

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. \_\_\_\_\_

2. \_\_\_\_\_

3. \_\_\_\_\_

4. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ (total score)

1. True or False [18 points]. For each question, circle TRUE or FALSE. No explanation is required.

- a) If two realizations for the same transfer function have the same number of states ( $A$  is the same size for both), then there must exist a state transformation matrix  $T$  that transforms one realization into the other (and vice versa), even if the realizations are not minimal. TRUE  FALSE

**SOLUTION:** (False) This is only true for minimal realizations.

- b) If a linear time-invariant system is BIBO stable, then it is always Lyapunov stable. TRUE  FALSE

**SOLUTION:** (False) This is only true for minimal realizations.

- c) If a linear time-invariant system is asymptotically stable, then it is always exponentially stable as well.  TRUE  FALSE

**SOLUTION:** (True) For linear systems, asymptotic stability implies exponential stability.

- d) When using the input  $u(t) = \sin(t)$ , the output  $y(t)$  of a system grows unbounded with time. We can conclude that the system is definitely NOT BIBO stable.  TRUE  FALSE

**SOLUTION:** (True) BIBO stability means *all* bounded inputs produce bounded outputs. One example of this failing to occur means that the system is not BIBO stable

- e) When using the input  $u(t) = \sin(t)$ , the output  $y(t)$  of the system is bounded. We can conclude that system is definitely BIBO stable. TRUE  FALSE

**SOLUTION:** (False) Showing that one bounded input produces a bounded output does not necessarily mean that *all* bounded inputs produce bounded outputs.

- f) If  $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$  is asymptotically stable, then so is  $x[k+1] = Ax[k]$  (same  $A$  matrix for both systems). TRUE  FALSE

**SOLUTION:** (False) The stability requirements are different in continuous and discrete time. In continuous time, we require  $\text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$  while in discrete time, we require  $|\lambda| < 1$ .

2. Minimal realizations [12 points].

a) Consider the following state-space realization:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccccc|cc} 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 3 & 2 & 7 & 2 & 8 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Write down a minimal realization for this system.

**SOLUTION:** The last two states are not affected by any other states, nor are they affected by the input. Therefore these states are *uncontrollable*. Removing them, we are left with:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|cc} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 3 & 2 & 7 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

The last two states in this realization do not affect any other states, nor do they affect the output. Therefore, these states are *unobservable*. Removing them, we are left with:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|cc} 3 & 0 & 7 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

This realization only has one state and  $B \neq 0$  and  $C \neq 0$ , so it cannot be reduced any further; it is minimal.

b) Find a minimal realization for a system whose impulse response is  $h(t) = 2e^{-t} - 3e^{-2t}$ .

**SOLUTION:** We can use linearity. A system with impulse response  $e^{at}$  has realization:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} a & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Therefore, a system with impulse response  $2e^{-t} - 3e^{-2t}$  has realization:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} -1 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} -2 & -3 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

and it's clear that this realization is minimal.

**ALT. SOLUTION:** An alternate approach is to compute the transfer function by taking the Laplace transform. Then we obtain:

$$G(s) = \frac{2}{s+1} - \frac{3}{s+2} = \frac{-s+1}{(s+1)(s+2)} = \frac{-s+1}{s^2+3s+2}$$

Then we can find minimal realization using the controllable canonical form:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -3 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

**3. Lyapunov stability for a nonlinear system [10 points].** Consider the scalar nonlinear dynamical system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = -x(t) + \frac{1}{4}x(t)^3, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

Prove that the point  $\tilde{x} = 0$  is a locally stable equilibrium point.

**Hint:** use the Lyapunov function  $V(x) = x^2$ .

**SOLUTION:** We will use the Lyapunov function  $V(x) = x^2$  to prove stability. This amounts to showing that  $V(x(t))$  is a decreasing function of  $t$ . We can ascertain this by evaluating the time derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}V(x(t)) &= \frac{d}{dt}x(t)^2 \\ &= 2x(t)\dot{x}(t) \\ &= 2x(t)\left(-x(t) + \frac{1}{4}x(t)^3\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}x(t)^2(4 - x(t)^2) \end{aligned}$$

Whenever  $-2 < x(t) < 2$ , the expression above is negative, i.e.  $V$  is decreasing. But if  $V$  is decreasing, then so is  $|x(t)|$ . So if  $|x_0| < 2$ , then  $|x(t)| < 2$  for all  $t \geq 0$  as well. In other words, the point  $x = 0$  is a locally stable equilibrium point.

Note that this Lyapunov function does not prove global stability, because if  $|x(t)| > 2$ , then  $V$  is not decreasing.

**ALT. SOLUTION:** The solution above is based on *Lyapunov's direct method*, which is to pick a Lyapunov candidate and directly show that it satisfies the definition of a Lyapunov function in some local neighborhood of the equilibrium point.

Another way to solve the problem is to use *Lyapunov's indirect method*. We did not cover this in class, so I was not expecting anybody to do this, but it's nonetheless valid and some students used it, so I accepted it as a valid solution. Lyapunov's indirect method states that if the linearized dynamics (linearized about the equilibrium point) are stable, then the nonlinear system is locally asymptotically stable and we can find a quadratic Lyapunov function that certifies it.

So in this case, the linearized dynamics are:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( -x + \frac{1}{4}x^3 \right)_{x=0} (t) = -x(t)$$

Since the system  $\dot{x}(t) = -x(t)$  is clearly stable ( $A$ -matrix is  $-1$ , which has a negative real eigenvalue), the nonlinear system is locally asymptotically stable as well.

4. **Evaluating quadratic integrals [10 points]**. Suppose  $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$  and  $A$  is Hurwitz (all eigenvalues of  $A$  have a strictly negative real part). Suppose  $Q = Q^\top$  is a symmetric matrix. We are interested in evaluating the integral

$$J(x_0) = \int_0^\infty x(t)^\top Q x(t) dt$$

Where  $x(t)$  is the state of the system at time  $t$ , assuming we start at  $x(0) = x_0$ . Prove that the value of this integral is given by:

$$J(x_0) = x_0^\top P x_0$$

where  $P$  satisfies the Lyapunov equation  $A^\top P + PA + Q = 0$ .

**SOLUTION:** Supposed  $P$  satisfies the Lyapunov equation. Substitute the expression for  $Q$  into the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} J(x_0) &= \int_0^\infty x(t)^\top Q x(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty x(t)^\top (-A^\top P - PA) x(t) dt \\ &= - \int_0^\infty (\dot{x}(t)^\top P x(t) + x(t)^\top P \dot{x}(t)) dt \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} (x(t)^\top P x(t)) dt \\ &= - \left[ x(t)^\top P x(t) \right]_{t=0}^\infty \\ &= x_0^\top P x_0 \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we used the fact that  $A$  is Hurwitz, which implies that the system is asymptotically stable, so  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .